



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |          | ING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/695,008 10/28/2003       |          |            | Steve W. Rodgers     | 15128US02           | 4253             |  |
| 23446                       | 7590     | 12/07/2006 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| MCANDRI<br>500 WEST N       |          | D & MALLOY | HOANG, DANIEL L      |                     |                  |  |
| SUITE 3400                  |          | STREET     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| CHICAGO,                    | IL 60661 |            | 2136                 |                     |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1  |  | Applic  | ation No.  | Applicant(s)  |                |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  |   | 5,008  | RODGERS ET AL   | RODGERS ET AL. |  |  |  |
|  |  |   | ner  | Art Unit  |                |  |  |  |
|  |  | Daniel  | L. Hoang   | 2136  |                |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply  | ication appears on  | the cover sheet wit  | h the correspondence ac   | idress         |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any                                      | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M resions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | AILING DATE OF<br>of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no<br>nunication.<br>atutory period will apply an<br>will, by statute, cause the | THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become AB A | ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |                |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 1)  🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | d on <i>10/28/03, 1/</i> 2  | <i>7/05</i> .  |   |                |  |  |  |
| • —:   | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 3)   | <u>-</u>   |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 4) 🖂   | Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the a  | pplication.   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 5)   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 6)🖂  | Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 7)[]   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 8) 🔲   | Claim(s) are subject to restrict   | tion and/or electio   | n requirement.   |   |                |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 9)   | The specification is objected to by th   | e Examiner.   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  | The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 2</u>  |   | ccepted or b)□ ob  | jected to by the Examin   | ner.           |  |  |  |
| •  | Applicant may not request that any obje  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| . 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to   | by the Examiner.  | Note the attached  | Office Action or form P   | TO-152.        |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| 12)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim  | for foreign priority  | under 35 U.S.C. §  | 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |                |  |  |  |
| a)   | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority  | documents have b  | een received.  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority  | documents have b  | een received in Ap   | oplication No   |                |  |  |  |
|  | $3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies   | of the priority docu  | ments have been i  | received in this National   | l Stage        |  |  |  |
|  | application from the Internation   | nal Bureau (PCT F   | Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |                |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)<br>e of References Cited (PTO-892)  |   | 4) Interview S   | ummary (PTO-413)  |                |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                |  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |
|  | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   |   | 5)  Notice of In 6)  Other:  | formal Patent Application   |                |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/27/05. 6)  Other:  |  |   |  |   |                |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2136

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims recite the limitation "single pipeline stage decryption". For purposes of examination, examiner is construing this as meaning the decryption process is done in an inone-end-and-out-the-other nature, wherein there is a single processing direction.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 1. Claims 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "single pipeline stage decryption" in claim 2 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "single pipeline stage decryption" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Said term renders the function performed by the decryptor indefinite.
- 2. Regarding claim 17, the phrase "substantially" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 3. The term "unrelated" in claim 34 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "unrelated" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/695,008

Art Unit: 2136

requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. For purposes of examination, examiner will interpret the meaning of unrelated as "not the same as".

# Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claim cites the limitation "the decryptor comprises a fixed bit shuffler". The parent claim already teaches that the decryptor is adapted to "fixedly bit shuffle the bit-rolled data.
- 2. Claim 10 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claim cites the limitation "the decryptor comprises one or more two-bit adders." The parent claims teaches that the decryptor is adapted to add a first key to the bit-shuffled data. As is consistent with the applicant's specification, examiner fails to see claim 10 as further limiting claim 1.
- 3. Claim 21 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claim recites the limitation "the decryptor is adapted to received encrypted data from the memory." The parent claim recites encrypted data stored in a memory and that the processor is coupled to the memory and that the processor comprises a decryptor that decrypts encrypted data. It is interpreted by the examiner through the claim language of the parent claim that the decryptor is adapted to receive encrypted data from the memory.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richard et al.,

US Patent No. 4,004,089, hereinafter Richard.

### As per claim 1, Richard teaches:

A system for protecting data, comprising:

a memory in which encrypted data is stored; and

[see col. 3, lines 48-52] "A credit card read and write module 30 can be used to read the magnetic stripe of a credit card to provide an enciphered text data signal to the terminal central processing unit (CPU) 10."

a processor coupled to the memory, the processor comprising a decryptor that decrypts the encrypted data,

[see col. 3, lines 58-61] "The CPU 10 directs the enciphered text to the decrypting section, terminal 100, of the programmable cryptic device 20 for decoding. The decoded data is designated clear text."

the decryptor being adapted to:

variably bit roll the encrypted data,

[see col. 1, lines 61-65] "the bit outputs from a plurality of linear shift registers are combined in a non-linear sequence generator to provide a bit substitution signal which signal is a long, non-linear pseudo-random sequence bit signal."

to fixedly bit shuffle the bit-rolled data,

[see col. 2, lines 2-5] "A bit shuffler then shuffles the position of the bits in the partially encoded signal so as to perform the bit transposition process, and to provide the completely encoded signal."

Art Unit: 2136

to add a first key to the bit-shuffled data and

[see col. 1, lines 65-68] "The bit outputs from the plurality of linear shift registers are programmably combined in the non-linear sequence generator according to a first program key.

to process the added data with a second key.

[see col. 2, lines 5-7] "The shuffle position of the bit is programmably controlled in the shuffle register according to a second program key."

# As per claim 2, Richard teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the decryptor is adapted to perform a single pipeline stage decryption.

[see col. 4, lines 12-13] "P-Register 9 for generating a maximum length linear pseudo-random bit sequence"

[see col.4 lines 15-18] "Four shift registers 12, 14, 16 and 18 are serially connected to comprise the P-Register such that the bit signal present at the last stage of one shift register is used as the input signal for a succeeding stage."

Examiner is interpreting the linear shift registers taught by Richard as a single processing direction decryption process.

3 7 Claims 1- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luyster, US PGP No. 20010038693.

### As per claim 1, Luyster teaches:

A system for protecting data, comprising:

a memory in which encrypted data is stored; and

[see paragraph 95] "The data encryption system includes a computing unit for the execution of each round; memory for storing and loading segments."

a processor coupled to the memory, the processor comprising a decryptor that decrypts the encrypted data,

[see paragraph 170] "Decryption is the inverse of encryption. In the present invention all the same steps are repeated but in reverse order. Decryption uses ciphertext output as

Art Unit: 2136

input and recovers the values of the plaintext inputs. Of course, as noted above, what is herein called the decryption operation can be used for encryption, and vice versa."

the decryptor being adapted to:

variably bit roll the encrypted data,

[see paragraph 95] "a bit-moving function capable of rotating bits (or of otherwise moving bits into different positions) of one-to-one round segments by predetermined numbers of bits."

to fixedly bit shuffle the bit-rolled data,

[see paragraph 95] "a linear combination function which provides new round segments using a round operator generally from a first algebraic group to combine two different round segments; and a nonlinear function which affects a round segment based on a value which depends on bits from another round segment, where both round segments are different round segments from the same one-to-one round segment set."

to add a first key to the bit-shuffled data and

[see paragraph 105] "Generally, all embodiments of the system of the present invention have a subkey combining function in each round which provides new round segments by combining a round segment typically linearly with a subkey segment."

to process the added data with a second key.

[see paragraph 154] "After completion of the last round, the system linearly combines (block 82) using the last linear operator of the rounds the left primary round segment R0, with the last subkey value, Klast."

# As per claim 2, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the decryptor is adapted to perform a single pipeline stage decryption.

[see paragraph 97] "Embodiments of this Feistel or near-Feistel approach generally modify each of the primary round segments in each round of calculation in the same way, typically using operations which modify all the bits of the large primary round segments in single linear operations."

#### As per claim 3, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the decryptor comprises a bit roller that rotates data in one or more roll regions of the incoming data based on an address related to the received encrypted data and a key related to the first key.

[see paragraph 138] "An example of indirect linear combination includes (1) operating on a first variable segment with a fixed rotation and (2) on a second segment by adding to it a predetermined subkey value."

[see paragraph 106] "The key expansion method applicable to data-dependent ciphers of the present invention detailed herein provides a rapid subkey generation method which permits control of the differences between subkeys using fixed table values."

### As per claim 4, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 3, wherein the key comprises a shifted version of the first key.

[see paragraph 7] "Secret key values are the values which influence the mapping of input to output provided by the block cipher. It is useful to divide secret keys into two categories: secret input keys and secret keys. A secret key is usually of fixed length. A block cipher usually operates on a secret key, but in some cases may operate on an secret input key. If a block cipher first operates on a secret input key, potentially it may use some algorithm to transform the secret input key into a secret key in a standard format. Then, a block cipher expands the secret key to form subkeys whose length or number of bits exceeds that of the secret key.

#### As per claim 5, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 3, wherein the bit roller comprises a plurality of multiplexers.

[see paragraph 125] "Linear Operators are restricted to those operators computed as part of the instruction set of a typical microprocessor which have the properties that (1) given two inputs with an equal probability of containing 0's and 1's, the output of the operator contains generally an equal probability of 0's and 1's, and (2) given that either input is constant, the output is a one-to-one function of the other input."

#### As per claim 6, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 5, wherein each multiplexer comprises a multiplexer selection input, wherein multiplexer selection bits are input at the multiplexer selection input, and wherein the multiplexer selection bits are generated based on the address related to the received encrypted data and the key related to the first key.

[see paragraph 125] "More specifically, they are instructions executable on a computing unit having two input segments typically of unsigned integers and one output segment which is

Art Unit: 2136

typically an unsigned integer, such as addition, xor, addition or subtraction in parallel (such as MMX-style addition of two 64-bit segments, each consisting of 2 values of 32-bits each). A segment is a fixed number of ordered bits, where that number is an integer of at least 2.

[see paragraph 138] "An example of indirect linear combination includes (1) operating on a first variable segment with a fixed rotation and (2) on a second segment by adding to it a predetermined subkey value."

## As per claim 7, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the decryptor comprises a fixed bit shuffler.

[see rejection of claim 1]

### As per claim 8, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 7, wherein the fixed bit shuffler comprises a fixed, hard-coded bit shuffler.

[see paragraph 124] "In the present example, each block half is computed in one 64-bit register." As is commonly known in the art, a register is a special, high-speed storage area within the CPU. All data must be represented in a register before it can be processed and the movement of data in and out of registers can only be manipulated by assembly language programs. Examiner is interpreting the use of a register to process incoming data as teaching a hard-coded bit shuffler.

### As per claim 9, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 7, wherein the fixed bit shuffler does not add a gate delay to the decryptor.

[see paragraph 92] "method for quick key expansion, particularly for encryption rounds with datadependent rotation, which decreases the time required to prepare a block cipher to encrypt or decrypt digital packets of bytes."

#### As per claim 10, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the decryptor comprises one or more two-bit adders.

[see paragraph 125] "Examples of linear operators include addition, subtraction, SIMD addition, SIMD subtraction, and bit-wise exclusive-or, where such SIMD (Single Instruction Multiple Data)

operations include either addition or subtraction executed in parallel (e.g., MMX -style addition of 2 segments of 32-bits each from two 64-bit registers).

# As per claim 11, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 10, wherein each two-bit adder comprises three exclusive OR (XOR) gates and an AND gate.

[see paragraph 355] "To compute the primary round segments R0 and R1 in the first half round, the following procedure is used. First, combine linearly using logic gates (block 384) (such as AND, or and XOR gates) the register R1 with the subkey K2 (block 386) to produce an intermediate segment value."

### As per claim 12, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the decryptor comprises an XOR block.

[see rejection of claim 11]

# As per claim 13, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 12, wherein the XOR block comprises one or more XOR gates.

[see rejection of claim 12]

#### As per claim 14, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 13, wherein each XOR gate comprises a first input and a second input, the first input receiving a bit of the second key, the second input receiving a bit of the added data.

[see paragraph 355] "To compute the primary round segments R0 and R1 in the first half round, the following procedure is used. First, combine linearly using logic gates (block 384) (such as AND, or and XOR gates) the register R1 with the subkey K2 (block 386) to produce an intermediate segment value."

# As per claim 15, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the first key is a shifted version of a key.

[see rejection of claim 3]

Art Unit: 2136

### As per claim 16, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 15, wherein an amount of shift in the first key is based on an address related to the received encrypted data.

[see paragraph 352] "two-step master key expansion process where the encryption used in such key expansion has fixed inputs and has session key values which in general are generated by a linear key expansion process using round-dependent shift operations, and where the variation shown immediately above could be used to compute the encryption used in the key expansion process."

# As per claim 17, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 15, wherein the first key is generated substantially in parallel with the decrypting of the encrypted data.

[see paragraph 32] "In each round of the block cipher, each register of cipher data is recalculated. This process updates and modifies the initial value of each register, which is the old primary segment, and substitutes a new register value, which is a new primary segment. In this approach, each new primary segment is mapped one-to-one with its old primary segment, all subkey segments and other primary segments being equal."

Because encrypting and decrypting are done in a single linear process, it is clear that the key has to be generated and be available at the time that the encryption/decryption takes place.

# As per claim 18, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the decryptor does not add a latency to a processor pipeline.

[see paragraph 294] "The s-box cipher method minimizes problems such as pipeline optimization in microprocessor chips and "address generation interlock"."

# As per claim 19, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the decryptor does not add enough gate delays to exceed a clock cycle budget of the processor.

[see paragraph 227] "Fixed rotations by non-zero numbers of bits are a subset of the possible bit-permutations, and unlike most bit-permutations, have the advantage of generally being executed in one clock cycle on a microprocessor.

#### As per claim 20, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the decryptor decrypts a word of the encrypted data in a single cycle.

[see paragraph 97] "Embodiments of this Feistel or near-Feistel approach generally modify each of the primary round segments in each round of calculation in the same way, typically using operations which modify all the bits of the large primary round segments in single linear operations."

#### As per claim 21, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the word comprises a 64-bit word.

[see rejection of claim 8]

#### As per claim 22, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the decryptor is adapted to receive encrypted data from the memory.

[see rejection of claim 1]

#### As per claim 23, Luyster teaches:

A system for protecting data, comprising: a memory in which encrypted data is stored; and a processor coupled to the memory, the processor comprising a decryptor that decrypts the encrypted data without adding a latency to a processor pipeline.

[see rejections of claim 1 and 18]

# As per claim 24, Luyster teaches:

A system for protecting data, comprising: a memory in which encrypted data is stored; and a processor coupled to the memory, the processor comprising a decryptor that decrypts the encrypted data without adding enough gate delays to exceed a clock cycle budget of the processor.

[see rejections of claim 1 and 19]

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 10/695,008

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 25, Luyster teaches:

A system for protecting data, comprising: a memory in which encrypted data is stored; and a processor

coupled to the memory, the processor comprising a decryptor that decrypts the encrypted data and

decrypts a word of the encrypted data in a single cycle.

[see rejections of claims 1 and 20]

As per claim 26, Luyster teaches:

A system for securing data, comprising: a processor that decrypts encrypted data, the processor being

adapted to variably bit roll encrypted data and to fixedly bit shuffle the bit-rolled data.

[see rejection of claim 1]

As per claim 27, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 26, wherein the processor is adapted to perform a single pipeline stage

decryption.

[see rejections of claim 26 and 2]

As per claim 28, Luyster teaches:

A system according to claim 26, wherein the processor is adapted to add a first key to the bit-shuffled

data and to process the added data with a second key.

[see rejection of claim 1]

As per claim 29, Luyster teaches:

The system according to claim 26, wherein the processor is adapted to decrypt the encrypted data

without adding a latency to a processor pipeline.

[see rejection of claim 18]

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 30, Luyster teaches:

A method for securing processor instructions, comprising: variably rolling data information based on a first

key and an address related to the data information; and hard-coded shuffling of the rolled data

information; using one or more keys to process the data information.

[see rejections of claims 1, 3, and 8]

As per claim 31, Luyster teaches:

The method according to claim 30, wherein the rolling, the shuffling and the using are part of a single

pipeline stage decryption.

[see rejection of claim 2]

As per claim 32, Luyster teaches:

The method according to claim 30, wherein using one or more keys to process the data information

comprises adding the hard-coded data information and a shifted version of the first key.

[see rejections of claim 4 and 8]

As per claim 33, Luyster teaches:

The method according to claim 32, wherein using one or more keys to process the data information

comprises processing the added data information with a second key using exclusive OR (XOR) gates.

[see rejection of claim 11]

As per claim 34, Luyster teaches:

The method according to claim 33, wherein the first key is unrelated to the second key.

[see rejection of claim 3, "differences in subkeys..."]

Page 13

As per claim 35, Luyster teaches:

The method according to claim 30, wherein the data information comprises encrypted data information.

[see rejection of claim 1]

As per claim 36, Luyster teaches:

The method according to claim 30, wherein the encrypted data information is stored in a memory, and wherein the stored data information is accessed by a processor.

[see rejection of claim 1]

As per claim 37, Luyster teaches:

The method according to claim 30, wherein the rolling comprises rotating bits within one or more rolling regions of the data information.

[see rejection of claim 3]

CONCLUSION

The following patents and publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to methods for securing data.

US Patent No. 5381480 to Butter et al., which is cited to show a system for translating encrypted data.

US PGP No. 20040039922 to Zaabab, which is cited to show a method for processing arbitrary key bit length encryption operations.

US Patent No. 5835600 to Rivest, which is cited to show block encryption algorithm with datadependent rotations.

\*. Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulaney Street Alexandria, VA 22314

\*. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel L. Hoang

12/04/06

NASSER MOAZZAMI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE:
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

12,5,06